

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/773,393	01/31/2001	Gregory Warren Goodknight	2705-155 4235			
20575	7590 11/28/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	OHNSON & MCCOL	MILLS, DONALD L				
210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUI' PORTLAND, OR 97204		E 400	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2616			

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<u></u>			
		Application No	•	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/773,393		GOODKNIGHT, GF WARREN	REGORY			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner		Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		Donald L. Mills	w a h a d a side de s	2616	Iraaa			
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	e or this communication a	ppears on the cov	er sneet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the r - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or e	TORY PERIOD FOR REP R, FROM THE MAILING ble under the provisions of 37 CFR and alling date of this communication, above, the maximum statutory periox tended period for reply will, by status ater than three months after the mail Gee 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS C 1.136(a). In no event, how of will apply and will expire ute, cause the application	OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 19	September 2006.						
2a) This action is FINA	L . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application								
closed in accordan	ce with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above classified (a) 5) Claim(s) is/a 6) Claim(s) <u>22-31</u> is/a 7) Claim(s) is/a	re rejected.	rawn from conside	·					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is	objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed			jected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not rec	quest that any objection to th	ne drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawin 11)☐ The oath or declara	g sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the I	•			, -			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19							
 Certified cop Certified cop Copies of the application fr 	made of a claim for foreign c) None of: ies of the priority documentes of the priority documentes certified copies of the priom the International Bure ailed Office action for a list	ents have been recents have been recents have been recents have leau (PCT Rule 17.	eived. eived in Application nave been receive 2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)	TO 200)	·	1	(DTO 445)				
 Notice of References Cited (F Notice of Draftsperson's Pate 	TO-892) nt Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [_	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		•			
3) Information Disclosure Staten		_ . _	Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) [_	Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 22-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 22 and 27, the claims specify sending the packet data stream to the other network device, if the network device determines that the other network device is a packet device that can receive packet data (For example, see claim 22, lines 12-13.) However, the converter acts to convert the packet data stream to an altered data stream (For example, see claim 22, lines 2-4.) Since the converter has already converted the packet data stream to the altered data stream, it would not be possible to transmit the packet data stream in its original format. Therefore, the Examiner gives no weight to the limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this Application/Control Number: 09/773,393

Art Unit: 2616

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 22-25, 27, and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bhagavath et al. (US 6,374,288 B1), hereinafter referred to as Bhagavath.

Regarding claims 22 and 27, Bhagavath discloses digital subscriber line system and method, which comprises:

A converter to receive a packet data stream intended for a packet domain and to convert the packet data stream into an altered data stream intended for transmission through a public switched telephone network; and (Referring to Figures 1-2F, customer xDSL modem 102 receives TCP/IP/Ethernet data packets from the customer, which must be converted to xDSL format for transmission to the Digital Subscriber Line Access Multiplexer (DSLAM) 103 located at a telephone company central office (PSTN) to the Internet network 120. See column 5, lines 60-67; column 6, lines 1-5; column 7, lines 24-35; and Table 1;) and

A controller operable to:

Establish a connection through the public switched telephone network with at least one other network device using the altered data stream (Referring to Figures 1-2F, the customer xDSL modem 102 (converter) negotiates handshake (establishes a connection through the PSTN) start up procedures with the platform xDSL modem 104(X). See column 7, lines 24-30;);

Send signals through the converter in the altered data stream identifying the network device as a packet device that can receive packet data (Referring to Figures 1-2F, the customer xDSL modem 102 (converter) negotiates handshake (signals in the

Art Unit: 2616

altered data stream identifying the network device) start up procedures with the platform xDSL modem 104(X). See column 7, lines 24-30;)

Determine, using signals received from one of the other network devices, whether the other network device is a packet device that can receive packet data (Referring to Figures 1-2F, the handshake start up procedure responses from the platform xDSL modem 104(X), part of server platform 100 (the at least one other network device) connected to the central office (PSTN), determines whether a session between the customer modem (network device which converts between the altered data stream and packet data stream) and platform modems exists. By definition, the handshake procedure is utilized to identify and verify communication with compatible devices. See column 7, lines 24-35;)

Send the altered data stream to the other network device, if the network device determines that the other network device is not a packet device and cannot receive packet data (Referring to Figures 1-2F, customer xDSL modem 102 receives TCP/IP/Ethernet data packets from the customer, which must be converted to and from xDSL format for transmission to and reception from the Digital Subscriber Line Access Multiplexer (DSLAM) 103 located at a telephone company central office (PSTN) to the Internet network 120. See column 5, lines 60-67; column 6, lines 1-5; column 7, lines 24-35; and Table 1.)

Regarding claim 23, Bhagavath discloses the network device as a voice gateway (Referring to Figures 1-2F, customer xDSL modem 102 (logically equivalent to a gateway) receives voice data from the customer, which must be converted to xDSL format for

Application/Control Number: 09/773,393

Art Unit: 2616

transmission to the Digital Subscriber Line Access Multiplexer (DSLAM) 103 located at a telephone company central office the Internet network 120. See column 5, lines 60-67; column 6, lines 1-5; column 7, lines 24-35; and Table 1.)

Regarding claim 24, Bhagavath discloses the packet data stream further comprising coded voice or data (Referring to Figures 1-2F, customer xDSL modem 102 encodes voice data from the customer. See column 5, lines 60-67; column 6, lines 1-5; column 7, lines 24-35; and Table 1.)

Regarding claims 25 and 29, Bhagavath discloses the converter further comprising a voice coder/decoder or a modem (Referring to Figures 1-2F, customer xDSL modem 102 encodes/decodes voice data from and to the customer. See column 5, lines 60-67; column 6, lines 1-5; column 7, lines 24-35; and Table 1.)

Regarding claim 30, Bhagavath discloses gathering information on the at least one other network device and storing the information for future use in identifying the other network device as a packet device (Referring to Figure 3A, the bit rate tables 202(A) and 204(X) of available rates for the customer modem and the platform modem are stored. See column 8, lines 40-42.)

Regarding claim 31, Bhagavath discloses accessing a storage of known network devices based upon the signals received from the other device; locating information about the other network device; and using that information in determining whether the other device is a packet device (Referring to Figure 3B, the bit rate tables 202(A) and 204(X) of available rates for the customer modem and the platform modem are stored and utilized when a higher rate is requested. See column 8, lines 40-42 and 47-50.)

Application/Control Number: 09/773,393 Page 6

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhagavath (US 6,374,288 B1) in view of Sebestyen (US 5,847,752).

Regarding claims 26 and 28 as explained above in the rejection statement of claims 22 and 27; Bhagavath discloses all of the claim limitations of claims 22 and 27 (parent claims).

Bhagavath does not disclose the controller utilizing ITU V.8 protocols, or robbed-bit signaling to identify the network device as a packet device.

Sebestyen teaches a method for call setup and control of video-telephone communication utilizing the ITU-T V.8 signaling protocol (See column 10, lines 19-24.) Bhagavath teaches a voice-over-IP telephony which utilizes call independent signaling (See Figures 1-2F and Abstract.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the video-telephone communication utilizing ITU-T V.8 signaling protocol of Sebestyen in the system of Bhagavath. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to comply with the well-known ITU-T V.8 standard.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald L. Mills whose telephone number is 571-272-3094. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,393

Art Unit: 2616

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Donald L Mills

November 19, 2006

SEEMA S. RAO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600